



What GPs Should Know About Carried Interest and Wealth Planning

An excerpt of the Privcap webinar
“Carried Interest and GP Estate Planning”

Sponsored by



What GPs Should Know About Carried Interest and Wealth Planning

The Panelists



Lindsay Hill

Director, Valuation Services,
RSM US LLP



David Stein

Partner, U.S. Wealth Planning Practice
Group Leader,
Withersworldwide



Tommy Wright

Partner, Private Client Services,
RSM US LLP

Three specialists discuss how changes to tax and other regulations could affect how carried interest is treated, and the cutting-edge strategies that a private equity GP can consider for gifting carried interest to family members or charities

↓ CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

David Snow, Privcap: How complicated—and widespread—is gifting points of carried interest?

David Stein, Withersworldwide: People have been doing it for quite some time. From a tax planning point of view, there are a number of technical rules that come into play so it can be a bit complicated. But the structures themselves, at the end of the day, can be relatively simple in terms of implementation. In terms of why people do it, it's really about taking an asset that has high growth potential and the ability to be valued on a current basis at a relatively low number relative to where it might go in the future.

And carried interest is a perfect example of that kind of asset. There is a significant basis for valuing it today at a number that's quite a bit less than what we hope it will produce over the long run. So it's a great candidate to be gifted away, to be moved out of one's estate, because then the ultimate value avoids the gift and estate tax.

Tommy Wright, RSM: The fundamental concept in estate planning is to shift the future appreciation in an asset's value out of one's estate. So obviously, a carried interest can be the ideal candidate for that. But anyone considering this type of transfer has to think about such planning concerns as how the gift fits in with the person's overall objectives, who should receive the gift and whether the gift should be made outright or in trust for that person's benefit (and perhaps for the benefit if that person's children) and so forth. There are a lot of issues to address here, if for no other reason than the transfer is irrevocable.

Is gifted carried interest typically transferred in a trust? And is there anything unique about the way this trust is set up that people contemplating this process need to understand?

Wright: Yes, most people will transfer the interest to a trust rather than directly to a child. Estate planners often recommend use of a type of trust known as a "defective" grantor trust. The advantage of this type of trust is that the donor—the senior generation who creates the trust—gets the pleasure, so to speak, of paying

the income tax on the income and gains reported by the trust that, absent the grantor trust provision, would otherwise have to pay the from its own earnings. By shifting the income tax burden back to the donor the trust's assets can grow tax free.

Stein: The grantor trust status also affords one other benefit, which is that a fund principal looking to transfer assets into a trust—if they have a more significant current value—may be able to do the transfer through a combination of a gift and an installment sale. And the installment sale to that trust from an income tax point of view would not be a recognition event because the trust is essentially ignored for income tax purposes. So the carry can be moved into the trust even if it's at a level above what the principal can gift tax free through a sale mechanism.

And then that current value will be paid back over time on an installment note. But the upside above the current value plus a small interest factor, would be retained in the trust.

If a fund is wildly successful, and the corresponding carry is substantial, would the grantor find him or herself paying all of the taxes on that carry, but receiving none of the benefit of those points of carry?

Stein: We have seen that actually happen in a couple of cases. The way that's typically addressed is there are a couple of different ways that grantor trust status is achieved in the first place. One of the ways is through a specific power that's given to the grantor. And if the grantor relinquishes that power and if none of the other grantor trust attributes are present, then the trust would become a non-grantor trust and start having to pay its own taxes.

And that can typically be done just, as I say, through the relinquishment of the power at any point. Once relinquished, it's not so easy to get it back, and so people do that only as a sort of last resort. The other thing that's often one of the reasons why a trust might be a grantor trust, is if the principle spouse is a beneficiary. This is something that's often done as a way to help protect against potentially giving away too much.

↓ CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

Let's talk about an important step that takes place at the outset of the gifting process, and that is assigning a valuation to the points of carry. Lindsay, can you walk us through that process?

Lindsay Hill, RSM: We're typically brought in after a lot of the planning discussions have been made. So the private equity principal has an idea of what types of vehicles they're using for the gift and they know that they need to have the valuation done to implement the gift.

There's a lot involved in the valuation process and it's pretty complex. We all know that private equity funds, hedge funds, and the related carry are not like a manufacturing entity. We're not just projecting volume and sales prices.

We're dealing with a lot more uncertain inputs, market performance being one of them. And the best way that we capture that in a valuation setting is through simulation. So in the case of a private equity fund, we would be using Monte Carlo simulation to come up with the exit proceeds for each planned portfolio company or expected portfolio company.

And that's really where our task becomes labor intensive, because we need to have extensive, upfront discussions with the private equity principals or with their finance teams to develop the expectation for when the investments will be made. What sizes will the investments be? How long will they be held? What industries will they be made into? And we use all of those inputs to develop a story that ultimately goes into our tools, which primarily consists of Monte Carlo simulation, to project what the proceeds might be to arrive at the carried interest waterfall calculations.

Lindsay and David, let's say you had a client who is badgering you for a ballpark of what the value of carry in a brand new fund might be? What would you say?

Stein: After all the hedging and caveats and so forth, if I had to put a number or a range on the table, I would usually tell people our experience has been that the valuations will often come out expressed as a percentage of the fund size at

some low single-digit percentage. So in a billion dollar fund the carry might be valued somewhere between \$10M and \$40M or something like that. And then each individual principal is only going to have a portion of that. But it's a range and there are a lot of variables that go into that.

Hill: Most times before we're even brought into the picture as a valuation specialist, there are discussions taking place about how much the carry might be worth, what vehicles make the most sense for the transfer and whether the value will be more or less than remaining lifetime exemption. And how many points are we going to be gifting?

We do often get that question of, "Well, we've had these discussions and we're concerned about what the outcome might be." So we always point it back to the attorneys or tax accountants and say that, anecdotally, we understand that this is the typical range that we see, but we try not to refer to specific situations because every valuation is different.

What is the maximum valuation amount of points of carry that it is recommended to transfer?

Wright: Every individual has a lifetime transfer exemption. Or they can save it and use it as an estate tax exemption. But the idea with estate planning is to get appreciation out of your estate. So if you can financially afford it, then the recommended course of action is to use your exemption—or most of your exemption—during your life and make gifts.

So to answer your specific question, each individual has a \$5.45M lifetime exemption. So a married couple has \$10.9M. And [in 2017] that's going up slightly to \$5.49M and then for a married couple again, multiplied by two. So that means I can transfer in today's value for a single person, almost \$5.5M dollars and not pay any gift tax. And then let that asset grow outside of my estate so that I've shifted that future wealth. You might ask, who pays the gift taxes, the donor or the [recipient]? Most people are under the impression that gift tax is paid by the recipient,

↓ CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

and it's not. Gift tax is paid by the donor, the grantor—the PE executive or principal, in this case.

When there is gift tax payable because you transferred an asset in excess of the \$5.5M, then there is a 40 percent gift tax paid by the donor. The recipient always receives the gift income-tax-free.

In many cases, principals of funds will gift points of carry to nonprofits like charities. And, of course, the charities will want to tap the money if it comes in. How would that work? Are there penalties, and does it change the dynamics of the trust?

Stein: There are a number of ways to deal with charitable giving in this context. What we see probably most often is that charities will be included as permissive beneficiaries under a trust that's otherwise for the family so that it's one of the possible vent-offs if the trust ends up growing to a very large size and there's more than enough there.

And there may be a view that develops that it would be better for some of that to be shunted off to charitable causes. So that can be done through the same trust that is used for the family. There are specific charitable planning techniques where the carry might be gifted, to charity or into a so-called split-interest trust like a charitable lead trust.

Wright: In the area of transfers of carried interest, we walk a little tightrope to avoid a technical tax issue. And in the industry, we refer to it as the vertical slice. So in transferring carried interest, we have to scoop up and carry along with it a little bit of everything we own.

Is there any pending regulatory change, legislation, or reforms that you are watching that will impact this process?

Wright: As we all know, the world has changed a little bit as a result of the outcome of the [presidential] election. And I think it's safe to say that the environment that exists today is ripe for comprehensive tax reform. That could be fairly

extensive in terms of income tax and possibly estate tax, as well. One of the things that the Trump plan outlined prior to the election ... included is the taxation of carried interest as ordinary income. There've been numerous bills proposed for the last eight, nine years on taxation of carried interest, none of which has ultimately gone anywhere.

But we could see that as a component of comprehensive tax reform. So there's both the Trump plan and then [Speaker of the House] Paul Ryan and Kevin Brady—the chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee—have developed a platform called the Blueprint. And that is quite comprehensive tax reform. Included in that is the repeal of the estate tax. Trump also had that as a component of his tax platform. The only difference being, under the Trump platform, we would have along with the repeal the recognition of capital gains on the appreciation of the assets held at death.

Stein: There's one other regulatory development we're keeping an eye on, which is regulations under a code section called 2704 that have been proposed. Those would potentially cut back on valuation discounts based on certain state law restrictions. They're targeted at family enterprises and family-owned entities and wouldn't necessarily affect the typical, more broadly held private equity fund carry structure.

The good news from the private equity side of the world is that so much of the valuation discounts that are achieved on the carry planning happened through the discounted cash flows and Monte Carlo simulations. And there is an overlay for illiquidity and lack of marketability and so forth that are the targets of these regulations. But I think there would still be, in the wake of almost any reasonable regulations, very significant discounts achievable for folks in this space. ■